

DClusterm: Model-based detection of disease clusters

December 23, 2011

1 Introduction

Kulldorff (1997) proposes a test for detecting disease clusters which will find the most likely cluster. This is called the Spatial Scan Statistic and the significance of the test is found via a Monte Carlo test. The test statistic is based on a likelihood ratio test for the following test:

$$\begin{aligned}H_0 &: \theta_z = \theta_{\bar{z}} \\H_1 &: \theta_z > \theta_{\bar{z}}\end{aligned}$$

Here, z represents a cluster (i.e., a set of contiguous areas), θ_z the relative risk in the cluster and $\theta_{\bar{z}}$ the relative risk outside the cluster. Many different clusters are tested in turn. The most likely cluster is the one with the highest value of the test statistic. Then a Monte Carlo test is used to compute the p-value of the most likely cluster.

2 Generalised Linear Models for cluster detection

Jung (2009); Zhang and Lin (2009) show that the test statistic for a given cluster is equivalent to fitting a Generalised Linear Model using a cluster variable as a predictor. This cluster variable is a dummy variable which is 1 for the areas in the cluster and 0 for the areas outside the cluster.

Firstly, given that we are using GLM's we could include covariates in the model. For example, for a Poisson model with expected counts E_i we could have:

$$O_i \sim Po(E_i\theta_i)$$

$$\log(\theta_i) = \log(E_i) + \alpha + \beta x_i$$

Fitting this model will provide estimates $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$. This will account for the (spatial) effects of the covariates. In order to include the cluster variable the effects of the covariates will be kept fixed. Hence, the clusters covariates will be used in a model with fixed coefficients for the covariates:

$$\log(\theta_i) = \log(E_i) + \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_i + \gamma CLUSTER_i$$

This means that the offset now is $\log(E_i) + \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_i$. γ is a measure of the difference of the risk in the cluster. We are only interested in clusters whose coefficient is higher than 0 (i.e., increased risk).

Testing different clusters will produce many different cluster covariates. We can use model selection techniques to select the most important cluster in the area. In particular, the log-likelihood can be used to compare the model with the cluster variable to the null model (i.e., the one with the covariates only). Note that we are interested in clusters with a high risk, so that

2.1 Leukemia in upstate New York

The NY8 dataset is available in package `DCluster` and it provides cases of leukemia in different census tracts in upstate New York. This data set has been analysed by several authors (Waller et al., 1992; Waller and Gotway, 2004).

The location of leukemia is thought to be linked to the use of Trichloroethene (TCE) by several companies in the area. Figure 1 shows the Standardised Mortality Ratios of the census tracts and the locations of the industries using TCE.

In order to measure exposure, the inverse of the distance to the nearest TCE site has been used (`PEXPOSURE`). In addition, two other socioeconomic covariates have been used: the percentage of people aged 65 or more (`PCTAGE65P`) and the percentage of people who own their home (`PC-TOWNHOME`).

```
> library(DCluster)
> library(snowfall)
> data(NY8)
> NY8$Cases2<-round(NY8$Cases)
> NY8$Observed<-NY8$Cases2
> NY8$EXP<-NY8$POP8*sum(NY8$Cases2)/sum(NY8$POP8)
> NY8$Expected<-NY8$EXP
```

```

> NY8$SMR<-NY8$Cases2/NY8$EXP
> NY8$x<-coordinates(NY8)[,1]
> NY8$y<-coordinates(NY8)[,2]
> NY8st<-STFDF(NY8, xts(1,as.Date(1)), NY8@data)
>

```




Figure 1: SMR of the incidence of Leukemia in upstate New York.

2.2 Cluster detection

2.2.1 Cluster detection with no covariates

First of all, a model with no covariates will be fitted and used as a starting point.

```

> m0<-glm(Cases2~offset(log(EXP))+1, family="poisson", data=NY8)
> idxcl<-c(120, 12, 89, 139, 146)

```

```
> c10<-DetectClustersModel(NY8st, thegrid=as.data.frame(NY8)[idxcl,c("x", "y")]
+   fractpop=.15, alpha=0.05, radius=Inf, step=NULL,
+   typeCluster="S", R=NULL, numCPUS=2, model0=m0)
```

Below is a summary of the clusters detected with this method. The dates can be ignored as this is a purely spatial cluster.

```
> c10
```

	x	y	size	minDateCluster	maxDateCluster	statistic
11	424728.9	4661404	39	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00	8.044846
88	409430.4	4720092	9	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00	6.967107
119	404710.7	4768346	24	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00	3.254824

	cluster	pvalue
11	TRUE	0.0000604120
88	TRUE	0.0001893208
119	TRUE	0.0107290781

The centre of the clusters detected are shown in Figure 2.

2.2.2 Cluster detection after adjusting for covariates

Similarly, clusters can be detected after adjusting for significant risk factors. First we will fit a GLM with the 3 covariates mentioned earlier. As it can be seen, all three are significant:

```
> m1<-glm(Cases2~offset(log(EXP))+PCTOWNHOME+PCTAGE65P+PEXPOSURE,
+   family="poisson", data=NY8)
> summary(m1)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = Cases2 ~ offset(log(EXP)) + PCTOWNHOME + PCTAGE65P +
    PEXPOSURE, family = "poisson", data = NY8)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.9099	-1.1294	-0.1768	0.6385	3.2426

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-0.65507	0.18550	-3.531	0.000413 ***
PCTOWNHOME	-0.36472	0.19316	-1.888	0.058998 .




Figure 2: Clusters detected when no covariates are included in the model.

```
PCTAGE65P    4.05031    0.60559    6.688 2.26e-11 ***
PEXPOSURE    0.15141    0.03165    4.784 1.72e-06 ***
```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

```
Null deviance: 459.05  on 280  degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 384.01  on 277  degrees of freedom
AIC: 958.97
```

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

The cluster detection method is run as before, but now we use the previous model instead:

```

> c11<-DetectClustersModel(NY8st, thegrid=as.data.frame(NY8)[idxcl,c("x", "y")]
+   fractpop=.15, alpha=.05,
+   typeCluster="S", R=NULL, numCPUS=2, model0=m1)

```

```

> c11

```

	x	y	size	minDateCluster	maxDateCluster	statistic
88	409430.4	4720092	9	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00	5.861204
119	404710.7	4768346	20	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00	3.160591
	cluster	pvalue				
88	TRUE	0.0006175202				
119	TRUE	0.0119304026				

Figure 3 shows the clusters detected after adjusting for covariates.




Figure 3: Clusters detected after adjusting for covariates.

3 Spatio-temporal clusters

3.1 Brain Cancer in New Mexico

The `brainNM` data set contains yearly cases of brain cancer in New Mexico from 1973 to 1991 (inclusive). The data set has been taken from the SatScan website and the area boundaries from the U.S. Census Bureau. In addition, the location of Los Alamos National Laboratory has been included (from the Wikipedia). Inverse distance to this site can be used to test for increased risk in the areas around the Laboratory as no other covariates are available.

```
> library(DClusterM)
> #debug(DetectClustersModel)
> #debug(glmAndZIP.iscluster)
> #debug(CalcStatsAllClusters)
> library(snowfall)
> data(brainNM)
```

Expected counts have been obtained using age and sex standardisation over the whole period of time. Hence, yearly differences are likely to be seen when plotting the data. The SMR's have been plotted in Figure 3.1.

3.2 Cluster detection

3.2.1 Cluster detection with no covariates

Similarly as in the spatial case, a GLM

```
> m0<-glm(Observed~offset(log(Expected))+1, family="poisson", data=brainst@data)
> summary(m0)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = Observed ~ offset(log(Expected)) + 1, family = "poisson",
     data = brainst@data)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.4874	-0.9998	-0.4339	0.3773	3.1321

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	2.761e-16	2.917e-02	0	1




Figure 4: SMR of brain cancer in New Mexico.

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 631.64 on 607 degrees of freedom
 Residual deviance: 631.64 on 607 degrees of freedom
 AIC: 1585.6

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

```
> c10<-DetectClustersModel(brainst, coordinates(brainst@sp),
+   minDateUser="1985-01-01", maxDateUser="1989-01-01",
+   fractpop=.15, alpha=0.05, typeCluster="ST", R=NULL, numCPUS=2, model0=m0)
> nrow(c10)
[1] 180
```

```
> c10[1:5,]
```

	x	y	size	minDateCluster	maxDateCluster
CLUSTER146	-106.3073	35.86930	3	1986-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER256	-105.9761	35.50684	2	1986-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER271	-106.9303	34.00725	9	1985-08-04 02:00:00	1986-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER258	-105.9761	35.50684	2	1987-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER148	-106.3073	35.86930	2	1987-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00

	statistic	cluster	pvalue
CLUSTER146	7.493492	TRUE	0.0001082553
CLUSTER256	6.438221	TRUE	0.0003327442
CLUSTER271	6.378992	TRUE	0.0003544929
CLUSTER258	6.331113	TRUE	0.0003731179
CLUSTER148	6.331113	TRUE	0.0003731179

3.2.2 Cluster detection after adjusting for covariates

We will use the inverse of the distance to Los Alamos National Laboratory as a covariate.

```
> dst<-spDistsM1(coordinates(brainst@sp), losalamos, TRUE)
> nyears<-length(unique(brainst@data$Year))
> brainst@data$IDLANL<-rep(1/dst, nyears)
>
> m1<-glm(Observed~offset(log(Expected))+IDLANL,
+ family="poisson", data=brainst)
> summary(m1)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = Observed ~ offset(log(Expected)) + IDLANL, family = "poisson",
    data = brainst)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.4832	-0.9982	-0.4280	0.3775	3.1424

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-0.005721	0.029897	-0.191	0.848
IDLANL	0.338194	0.364900	0.927	0.354

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 631.64 on 607 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 630.84 on 606 degrees of freedom
AIC: 1586.8

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

```
> c11<-DetectClustersModel(brainst, coordinates(brainst@sp), fractpop=.15,  
+ alpha=0.05, minDateUser="1988-01-01", maxDateUser="1989-01-01",  
+ typeCluster="ST", R=NULL, numCPUS=2, model0=m1)
```

```
> nrow(c11)
```

```
[1] 6
```

```
> c11[1:5,]
```

	x	y	size	minDateCluster	maxDateCluster
CLUSTER25	-105.9761	35.50684	2	1988-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER14	-106.3073	35.86930	2	1988-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER29	-105.8508	34.64048	2	1988-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER6	-106.8328	32.35265	17	1988-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
CLUSTER13	-105.4592	33.74524	3	1988-08-04 02:00:00	1988-08-04 02:00:00
	statistic	cluster	pvalue		
CLUSTER25	2.433451	TRUE	0.02737662		
CLUSTER14	2.433451	TRUE	0.02737662		
CLUSTER29	2.431998	TRUE	0.02742274		
CLUSTER6	2.010047	TRUE	0.04496121		
CLUSTER13	2.007057	TRUE	0.04512090		

We can easily display the most significant cluster as follows:

```
> stcl<-get.stclusters(brainst, c10)  
> brainst$CLUSTER<-0  
> brainst$CLUSTER[stcl[[1]]]<-1
```

```
> print(stplot(brainst[,,"CLUSTER"], at=c(0, 0.5, 1.5)))
```




Figure 5: Spatio-temporal cluster of brain cancer detected in New Mexico.

4 Zero-inflated models for cluster detection

Gómez-Rubio and López-Quílez (2010) extend this method to account for zero-inflation. In this case the observed number of cases come from a mixture distribution:

$$Pr(O_i = n_i) = \begin{cases} \pi_i + (1 - \pi_i)Po(0|\theta_i E_i) & n_i = 0 \\ (1 - \pi_i)Po(n_i|\theta_i E_i) & n_i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The relative risk θ_i can be modelled using a log-linear model to depend on some relevant risk factors. Also, it is common that all π_i 's are taken equal to a single value π .

4.1 Brain Cancer in Navarre (Spain)

Ugarte et al. (2006) analyse the incidence of brain cancer in Navarre (Spain). The aggregation level is the health district. Figure 4.1 shows the SMR. As it can be seen there are many areas where the SMR is zero because there are no cases in those areas. Ugarte et al. (2004) also tested for positive zero-inflation of these data compared to a Poisson distribution. The method implemented in this package is similar to the one used in Gómez-Rubio and López-Quílez (2010) for the detection of disease clusters of rare diseases.




Figure 6: SMR of brain cancer in Navarre (Spain).

4.2 Cluster detection

4.2.1 Cluster detection with no covariates

Before starting our cluster detection methods, we will check the appropriateness of a Poisson GLM for this data. Fitting a log-linear model (with no covariates) gives the following model:

```
> m0<-glm(OBSERVED~ offset(log(EXPECTED))+1, family="poisson", data=brainnav)
> summary(m0)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = OBSERVED ~ offset(log(EXPECTED)) + 1, family = "poisson",
     data = brainnav)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.5227	-1.4783	-0.3203	0.7042	1.6393

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-7.752e-06	8.805e-02	0	1

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 63.733 on 39 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 63.733 on 39 degrees of freedom
AIC: 145.02

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

Furthermore, a quasipoisson model has been fit in order to asses any extra-variation in the data:

```
> m0q<-glm(OBSERVED~ offset(log(EXPECTED))+1, family="quasipoisson",
+ data=brainnav)
> summary(m0q)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = OBSERVED ~ offset(log(EXPECTED)) + 1, family = "quasipoisson",
     data = brainnav)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.5227	-1.4783	-0.3203	0.7042	1.6393

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-7.752e-06	9.703e-02	0	1

(Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 1.214555)

Null deviance: 63.733 on 39 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 63.733 on 39 degrees of freedom
AIC: NA

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

The dispersion parameter in the previous model seems to be higher than 1, which may mean that the Poisson distribution is not appropriate.

For this reason, and following Ugarte et al. (2004), a zero-inflated Poisson model has been fit. Here is the resulting model:

```
> m0zip<-zeroinfl(OBSERVED ~ offset(log(EXPECTED))+1 | 1, data = brainnav,  
+ dist="poisson", x=TRUE)  
> summary(m0zip)
```

Call:

```
zeroinfl(formula = OBSERVED ~ offset(log(EXPECTED)) + 1 | 1, data = brainnav,  
dist = "poisson", x = TRUE)
```

Pearson residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-1.3585	-0.9137	-0.1378	0.7137	1.8091

Count model coefficients (poisson with log link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	0.09347	0.09459	0.988	0.323

Zero-inflation model coefficients (binomial with logit link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-1.6158	0.6435	-2.511	0.012 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Number of iterations in BFGS optimization: 9

Log-likelihood: -69.08 on 2 Df

Hence, the zero-inflated Poisson model will be used now to detect clusters of disease:

```

> brainnav$Expected<-brainnav$EXPECTED
> brainnavst<-STFDF(brainnav, xts(1,as.Date(1)), brainnav@data)
> c10<-DetectClustersModel(brainnavst, coordinates(brainnav), fractpop=.25,
+   alpha=.05,
+   typeCluster="S", R=NULL, numCPUS=2, model0=m0zip)

```

R Version: R version 2.14.0 (2011-10-31)

```

Library spdep loaded.
Library splancs loaded.
Library spacetime loaded.
Library DCluster loaded.
Library pscl loaded.
Library DClusterm loaded.
[1] 1 1

```

```

> c10

```

	x	y	size	minDateCluster	maxDateCluster
count_CLUSTER29	596886.8	4710520	4	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00
count_CLUSTER28	611795.5	4713762	3	1970-01-02 01:00:00	1970-01-02 01:00:00
	statistic	cluster	pvalue		
count_CLUSTER29	2.520091	TRUE	0.02476587		
count_CLUSTER28	2.016942	TRUE	0.04459518		

As it can be seen, two clusters (with a p-value lower than 0.05) are detected. However, they overlap and we will just consider the one with the lowest p-value, which is shown in Figure 4.2.1

```

> names(c10)[3]<-"size"
> brainnav$x<-coordinates(brainnav)[,1]
> brainnav$y<-coordinates(brainnav)[,2]
> knbinary(brainnav, c10)

```

	CL1	CL2
1	0	0
2	0	0
3	0	0
4	0	0
5	0	0
6	0	0
7	0	0

8	0	0
9	0	0
10	0	0
11	0	0
12	0	0
13	0	0
14	0	0
15	0	0
16	0	0
17	1	0
18	0	1
19	0	0
20	0	0
21	0	0
22	0	0
23	0	0
24	1	0
25	0	0
26	0	0
27	0	0
28	0	0
29	0	0
30	1	1
31	1	1
32	0	0
33	0	0
34	0	0
35	0	0
36	0	0
37	0	0
38	0	0
39	0	0
40	0	0

```
> brainnav$CLUSTER<-knbinary(brainnav, c10)[,1]
```

References

Gómez-Rubio, V. and A. López-Quílez (2010). Statistical methods for the geographical analysis of rare diseases. *Advances in experimental medicine*




Figure 7: Cluster of brain cancer detected in Navarre (Spain).

and *biology* 686, 151–171.

Jung, I. (2009). A generalized linear models approach to spatial scan statistics for covariate adjustment. *Statistics in Medicine* 28(7), 1131–1143.

Kulldorff, M. (1997). A spatial scan statistic. *Communications in Statistics — Theory and Methods* 26(6), 1481–1496.

Ugarte, M. D., B. Ibáñez, and A. F. Militino (2004). Testing for poisson zero inflation in disease mapping. *Biometrical Journal* 46(5), 526–539.

Ugarte, M. D., B. Ibáñez, and A. F. Militino (2006). Modelling risks in disease mapping. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 15, 21–35.

Waller, L., B. Turnbull, L. Clark, and P. Nasca (1992). Chronic disease surveillance and testing of clustering of disease and exposure: application to leukemia incidence in tce-contaminated dumpsites in upstate New York. *Environmetrics* 3, 281–300.

Waller, L. A. and C. A. Gotway (2004). *Applied Spatial Statistics for Public Health Data*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.

Zhang, T. and G. Lin (2009). Spatial scan statistics in loglinear models. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 53(8), 2851–2858.